Case 1:23-mi-99999-UNA Document 2093-2 Filed 06/30/23 Page 1 of 2 JS44 (Rev. 10/2020 NDGA)

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S) DONNA AUSBORN		DEFENDANT(S) ILLINOIS UNION INSURANCE COMPANY D/B/A CHUBB
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Dawson County, GA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT COOK COUNTY, IL (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUME-MAIL ADDRESS) Craig T. Jones CRAIG T. JONES, P.C. Post Office Box 129 Washington, Georgia 30673 (678) 643-0062 craigthomasjones@outlook.com	MBER, AND	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Alycen A. Moss Elliot Kerzner Cozen O'Connor 1230 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 400 Atlanta, Georgia 30309 404-572-2052/amoss@cozen.com/ekerzner@cozen.com
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) 1 U.S. GOVERNMENT PLAINTIFF U.S. GOVERNMENT DEFENDANT 1 U.S. GOVERNMENT (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY) 1 U.S. GOVERNMENT (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN ITEM III)	PLF DEF 1 1 CI 2 CI 3 CI	ZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES N "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY) PLF DEF TIZEN OF THIS STATE 4 4 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE TIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A 6 FOREIGN NATION
IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X "IN ONE BOX ONLY) 1 ORIGINAL PROCEEDING 2 REMOVED FROM APPELLATE COURT 3 REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT 8 LITIGATION - DIRECT FILE	4 REINSTATED REOPENED	OR TRANSFERRED FROM 5 ANOTHER DISTRICT (Specify District) 6 LITIGATION - TRANSFER TRANSFER TRANSFER JUDGMENT
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE INTERPRETATION ACTIONAL STATUTES UNIT 28 U.S.C. Sections 90(a)(2), 1332(a)(1), 1332(a) Parties are diverse and Plaintiff seeks more that	c)(1), 1441 aı	nd 1446
(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW) 1. Unusually large number of parties. 2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses. 3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex 4. Greater than normal volume of evidence. 5. Extended discovery period is needed.	☐ 7. Pend 8. Mult ☐ 9. Nee ☐ 10. Exist	lems locating or preserving evidence ling parallel investigations or actions by government. ciple use of experts. d for discovery outside United States boundaries. tence of highly technical issues and proof.
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT \$ JUDGE MAG. JUDGE (Referral)	APPLYING	ON REVERSE G IFP MAG. JUDGE (IFP) OF SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION

Case 1:23-mi-99999-UNA Document 2093-2 Filed 06/30/23 Page 2 of 2

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

1513 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETRANS BENEFITS	
CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 10 MISURANCE 100 MARINE 100 MILER ACT 100 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS 100 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS 100 FROM THE CONTRACT 100 FRO	
S20 COPYRIGHTS S20 COPYRIGHTS S40 TRADEMARK S40 TRADEMARK S40 TRADEMARK S40 TRADEMARK S40 TRADE SECRETS ACT OF 2016 (DTSA) S80 DEFEND TRADE SECRETS ACT OF 2016 (DTSA) TRACK FOR EACH CASE TACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. SEE LOCAL RULE 20 S40 TRADEMARK S80 DEFEND TRADE SECRETS ACT OF 2016 (DTSA) TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. SEE LOCAL RULE 20 TRACK S40 TRADEMARK S80 DEFEND TRADE SECRETS ACT OF 2016 (DTSA) TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. SEE LOCAL RULE 20 TRACK S40 TRADEMARK S40 TRADEMARK S80 DEFEND TRADE SECRETS ACT OF 2016 (DTSA) TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. SEE LOCAL RULE 20 TRACK S40 TRADEMARK S40 TRADEMARK S40 TRADEMARK S40 TRADEMARK S80 DEFEND TRADE SECRETS ACT OF 2016 (DTSA) TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. SEE LOCAL RULE 20 TRACK S40 TRADEMARK S40 TRAD	S99 CT / DECISION NATUTES ERY HANGE ERY
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$ URY DEMAND YES NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT)	
VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NO	
CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) □ 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. □ 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. □ 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SU □ 4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SA BANKRUPTCY JUDGE. □ 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SE LITIGANTS. □ 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):	
☐ 7. EITHER SAME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH DISMISSED. This case ☐ IS ☐ IS NOT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.	CH WAS
alyen Moss- 06/30/2023	